



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,992	12/06/2001	Lawrence W. Stark	018158-018610US	1090
20350	7590	03/27/2008	EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834				ART UNIT
				PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2008

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10006992	12/6/2001	STARK ET AL.	018158-018610US

EXAMINER

david shay

ART UNIT

PAPER

3735

12232007

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

With respect to the Rely Brief filed April 9, 2007, two things are noted: 1) the Examiner's Answer mailed out July 10, 2007 was sent out in response to an order by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, as the original Examiner's Answer was inadvertently mailed without the required signatures, thus the Examiner's Answer mailed July 10, 2007 was not mailed in response to the Reply Brief filed April 9, 2007; 2) due to the arguments in the Reply Brief separately arguing the patentability of dependent claim 39, the requirement for the mapping of the disclosure to the independent and separately argued dependent claims, identifying each means plus function or step plus function under 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph and/or set forth the structure materials or acts described in the specification as corresponding to the claimed function with specific reference to page and line number and to the drawings, if any by reference number, is no longer satisfied by the description of the invention submitted in the Brief filed October 27, 2007. It is noted that the newly separately argued claim includes a step plus function recitation and thus the requirements with respect to such recitations set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v) must also be satisfied with respect to this recitation.

/david shay/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3735